From: <u>Clark, Jacqueline</u>
To: <u>David L. Rieser</u>

Cc: <u>Faryan, Steve</u>; <u>Ken Rose</u>; <u>Kenney, Thomas</u>

Subject: Additional Edits re: Wedron, IL: Illinois Railway Scope of Work

Date: Thursday, September 19, 2013 2:19:00 PM
Attachments: WEDRON SOW 19Sep2013 EPA Edits2.docx

RCRA Section 7003 AOC for Wedron Illinois Railway final.docx

David – attached is a final AOC, accepting the minor edits you provided earlier this week and including some new formatting edits. I'm also attaching the workplan with some additional edits. We accepted the edits provided to Steve Faryan earlier this week and proposed our current edits in redline. The edits are consistent with prior comments and discussions among the parties. Per my management, if possible, please send me a final workplan today or early tomorrow so I can begin sign-off tomorrow. I am out of the office tomorrow, but will try to check email regularly. I can be reached by cell phone after 4:30 today and all day tomorrow: 773.474.1837.

Jacquie Clark
Associate Regional Counsel
U.S. EPA, Region 5 (C-14J)
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604

Direct: (312) 353-4191 Fax: (312) 385-5474

email: clark.jacqueline@epa.gov

This e-mail, including attachments, contains information that may be confidential, protected by the attorney/client or other privileges, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read this email, delete it, including attachments, and notify the sender that you have received this email in error. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this email, including attachments, is prohibited and may be unlawful.

**From:** David L. Rieser [mailto:DRieser@muchshelist.com]

Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 11:03 AM

To: Clark, Jacqueline

Subject: FW: Wedron, IL: Revised Illinois Railway Scope of Work dated 16Sept2013 attached [IWOV-

MS1.FID373208]
Importance: High
Per our discussion

www.muchshelist.com

David L. Rieser
Much Shelist, P.C.
191 North Wacker Drive, Suite 1800
Chicago, IL 60606
Phone 312.521.2717
Fax 312.521.2817
DRieser@muchshelist.com

## Associated with International ALLIANCE of Law Firms

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

The information contained in this email communication is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication, and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this communication in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of the message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in

error, please notify us immediately by telephone and/or reply email.

## Circular 230 notice

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (I) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue code or (II) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

**From:** Smith, Randall T. [mailto:SmithRT@cdmsmith.com]

**Sent:** Monday, September 16, 2013 4:51 PM **To:** 'Faryan, Steve' (<u>faryan.steven@epa.gov</u>)

Cc: Ken Rose; David L. Rieser; Albrecht, Chris; Grabs, John; Andy Engeman; Ken Koff; Sandra Remy

Subject: Wedron, IL: Revised Illinois Railway Scope of Work dated 16Sept2013 attached

Importance: High Hello Mr. Faryan,

On behalf of Mr. Ken Rose for Illinois Railway (IR), attached please find the revised Draft Scope of Work (SOW) for the Wedron, Illinois field investigation activities. This SOW, dated September 16, 2013, has been revised to address the comments contained in the email dated Thursday Sept. 12, 2013 from Jacqueline Clark to David Rieser.

The attached draft SOW is submitted as a Microsoft Word document, using the "Track Changes" feature to highlight notable revisions. Minor text edits and word changes have been made without highlighting. Also attached is a revised figure showing the locations for the geophysical survey and direct push subsurface sampling.

We believe the attached SOW reflects all of your requests for modification, except for the following important exceptions:

Your item #6a, regarding the field sampling plan, requests that "... for each historical feature identified for investigation, collect a boring from the center of the location." IR respectfully disagrees with this suggestion. If the geophysical investigation infers an underground tank is present, IR will not want to either puncture or otherwise damage the tank with the direct push rig or encounter refusal; instead IR proposes to install the boring immediately adjacent to the anomaly. Any subsequent tank removal activity will be conducted per Illinois requirements.

Your item #9 requests that "...IR will begin soil boring activities before the geophysical report is complete." IR respectfully disagrees with this suggestion. IR proposes to wait the few extra days to receive the fully evaluated and reviewed geophysical results from the subcontractor before finalizing any field investigation decisions and before mobilizing for intrusive work. IR will review field investigation responses to the geophysical results with EPA before field work is finalized.

Your item #13 requests IR to "...collect water table elevation data and prepare and submit to EPA a water table elevation contour map." Yes, IR will collect water table elevation data and will present the results in tabular form; however, any interpretive elevation contouring based on IR's linear well placements will be misleading at best – especially since the line of IR wells will be generally cross-gradient to the inferred east-to-west groundwater flow direction (per attachment labeled "FX\_Groundwater\_Flow (4).pdf" to email dated September 9, 2013 from Steve Faryan to Ken Rose).

IR recommends that all new groundwater monitoring wells installed as part of this Wedron

investigation, by all involved parties, be included in a comprehensive town-wide groundwater elevation survey conducted by a single entity during a single mobilization. I hope these clarifications are helpful.

Regards,

Randy Smith

Randall T. Smith, P.E. | Vice President | CDM Smith

201 Mission Street, Suite 1450 | San Francisco | CA 94105 | phone 415.495.6201 x206 | fax 925.296.8062 | cell 925.260.3236

## SmithRT@cdmsmith.com

From: David L. Rieser [mailto:DRieser@muchshelist.com]

Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2013 3:08 PM

**To:** Andy Engeman; Ken Rose; Ken Koff; Sandra Remy **Subject:** FW: Wedron IR SOW [IWOV-MS1.FID373208]

From: Clark, Jacqueline [mailto:clark.jacqueline@epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2013 4:06 PM

To: David L. Rieser

Cc: Kenney, Thomas; Faryan, Steve

Subject: RE: Wedron IR SOW [IWOV-MS1.FID373208]

David – below are EPA's comments on the latest IR work plan. Ideally, we'd like to see a revised work plan with these comments incorporated when we talk on Monday. Monday we are available for a call from 8:30-10 am or anytime after 11 am. Please let me know what works for you and IR participants.

- 1. Include a schedule for all submissions and activities identified in the work plan, either within the body of the work plan or as an attachment. The timeframes should be tight to ensure field work begins in October and is completed this fall/early winter
- 2. Include updated figures/maps as attachments to the work plan, incorporating comments below
- 3. Revise work plan/schedule to reflect that QAPP will be submitted and approved by EPA before field work begins
- 4. Include reference to using an EM-61 metal detector in Geophysical Survey Section as the time-domain EM model, or specify a different model
- 5. In Geophysical Survey Section insert and between "electromagnetics" and "flux-gate"
- 6. Sampling Plan Section and Phase 1 Section should be revised to include the following sampling/investigation parameters:
  - a. For each historical feature identified for investigation, collect a boring from the center of the location. If a PID reading from that boring is greater than 5 ppm, step out and complete additional borings and collect additional samples to delineate the extent of contamination.
  - b. At the April 2013 LUST location, collect a boring in the middle of the location and directly north and south of the location. If a PID reading from a boring is greater than 5 ppm, step out and collect additional samples to delineate the extent of contamination.
  - c. For the location identified by an EPA geophysical survey in May 2013, complete additional geophysical work to identify a potential UST. Then complete three borings one on each side of the location and one in the middle. If a PID reading from a boring is greater than 5 ppm, step out and complete additional borings and

- collect additional samples to delineate the extent of contamination. If an UST is identified, contact the OSFM for tank removal.
- d. State how many borings, total, will be collected (I think they need to specify a minimum number of borings will be hard to come up with an actual number)
- 7. Use ultraRAE or similar ppb reading PID for investigation
- 8. In the Phase I Section revise to indicate that if only one PID reading occurs, then samples will be collected at the point of the PID reading and just above the water table
- 9. Indicate in the Phase I Section that IR will begin soil boring activities before the geophysical report is complete
- 10. Under Phase 3 Section delete the phrase "IEPA-approved"
- 11. Revise work plan to reflect borings will be installed to the water table or bedrock and monitoring wells will be screened across the water table, likely below top of bedrock
- 12. Note that EPA will need access to any installed monitoring wells for future survey and sampling purposes
- 13. Under Phase 3 Section EPA would like IR to collect water table elevation data and prepare and submit to EPA a water table elevation contour map
- 14. Under Field Assumptions:
  - a. The 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, and 5<sup>th</sup> bullets should refer to both borings and monitoring wells
  - b. The 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet should indicate that any locations not accessible by a truck mounted drill rig will be evaluated for access to install monitoring wells in another manner
- 15. Under Task 3:
  - a. The 4<sup>th</sup> bullet should include boring/sampling locations and depths
  - b. The 8<sup>th</sup> bullet should include PID data
  - c. The 9<sup>th</sup> bullet should state Geophysical Survey *results*

Jacquie Clark
Associate Regional Counsel
U.S. EPA, Region 5 (C-14J)
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604

Direct: (312) 353-4191 Fax: (312) 385-5474

email: clark.jacqueline@epa.gov

This e-mail, including attachments, contains information that may be confidential, protected by the attorney/client or other privileges, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read this email, delete it, including attachments, and notify the sender that you have received this email in error. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this email, including attachments, is prohibited and may be unlawful.